UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,982	01/02/2004	Tom Musolf	020824-003512US	5472
	7590 12/14/200 AND TOWNSEND AN	•	EXAMINER	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			HADIZONOOZ, BANAFSHEH	
	EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/750,982	MUSOLF ET AL.			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Banafsheh Hadizonooz	3714			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Banafsheh Hadizonooz</u> .	(3) <u>Ronald Laneau</u> .				
(2) <u>Adam Pyonim</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>04 December 2007</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	· •]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: 60,61 and 67.					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Padgett (US 6,421,524)</u> , <u>Lynch (US 6,668,156, Smith III (US 5,466,158)</u> , <u>Kirwan (US 6,516,181)</u>					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)∐ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	reed would render the claims rould render the claims			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS ODAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO			
	Rinal	I Janean			
	RONA	LD LANEAU RY EXAMINER			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	 Examiner's sign	ature, if required			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant discussed proposed amendments to help distinguish the claims over prior art. More specifically, he described the "dummy microphone" as being implemented within the body of the apparatus. A number of limitations such as display screen within the housing and attaching the microphone to the body of the apparatus were also discussed.

The applicant discussed possible proposed amendment that focuses on implementing the microphone within the housing unit rather than mounting the microphone on the data cartridge. The proposed amendment appear to distinguish over the prior art of record. No agreement was reached on patentability...

10/750,982 Art Unit: 3714

## Interview Summary

The applicant discussed proposed amendments to help distinguish the claims over prior art. More specifically, he described the "dummy microphone" as being implemented within the body of the apparatus. A number of limitations such as display screen within the housing and attaching the microphone to the body of the apparatus were also discussed.

The applicant discussed possible proposed amendment that focuses on implementing the microphone within the housing unit rather than mounting the microphone on the data cartridge. The proposed amendment appear to distinguish over the prior art of record. No agreement was reached on patentability.